

# Height-Selective Etching for Regrowth of Self-Aligned Contacts Using MBE and Electron Beam Metal Evaporation

**Author(s):** Burek, G.J.; Wistey, M.A.; Singiseti, U.; Nelson, A.<sup>2</sup>; Thibeault, B.J.; Bank, S.R.<sup>3</sup>; Rodwell, M.J.W.; and Gossard, A.C.

**Affiliation(s):**

*ECE and Materials Departments, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106.*

<sup>2</sup> *University of St. Thomas, Saint Paul, MN 55105*

<sup>3</sup> *ECE Department, University of Texas, Austin, TX, 78712.*

*Phone: 805-893-3273, fax 805-893-3262, Email: burek@ece.ucsb.edu*

**Abstract:**

Highly scaled III-V transistors require unprecedented very low resistance contacts in order to simultaneously scale bandwidth,  $f_{\max}$  and  $f_t$  with the physical active region [1]. Low resistance contacts have been previously demonstrated using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), which provides active doping above  $4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and in-situ metal deposition [2]. But MBE and metal evaporation are blanket deposition techniques, and removing regrown semiconductor and evaporated metal from structures near the active region of deep-submicron devices is difficult, even with advanced lithography techniques. We present a simple method for selectively etching undesired regrowth and metallization from the gate or mesa of a III-V MOSFET or laser, resulting in self-aligned source/drain contacts regardless of the device dimensions. This turns MBE and electron beam metal evaporation into effectively selective area growth techniques.

**Introduction**

In order for the bandwidth,  $f_t$  and  $f_{\max}$  of III-V transistors to improve as the active region is physically scaled, next generation devices demand very low resistance ohmic contacts [1]. To minimize parasitic resistance, contacts must be as close as possible to the active region, and the metal-semiconductor resistance must be minimized as well. In Si CMOS, both problems are addressed by self-aligned silicides (salicides), but an equivalent process for III-V's has not been demonstrated to date. Ohmic contacts to InGaAs with  $R_c < 1 \times 10^{-8} \Omega\text{-cm}^2$  have been previously demonstrated only by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), which can reach active dopant concentrations well above the solid solubility limit. These contacts also strongly benefit from in-situ metals deposition without breaking vacuum [2]. Previously, it has been shown that low resistance ohmic contacts may be made to extremely thin, buried quantum wells [3] and it is our goal to use regrowth to make contact to an extremely thin (5 nm), undoped, surface channel in a MOSFET structure. Using regrowth by MBE to form source/drain contacts is feasible, but a traditional lithographic process to remove unwanted material from the top of the gate imposes lithographic alignment and resolution requirements far more stringent than those needed for gate formation. Self-aligned growth by metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) [4] suffers from sensitivity to small variations in temperature along with lower active doping, which increases contact resistivity. MOCVD also requires high temperatures (600-800 °C) that may exceed the thermal budget of MOSFET gate stacks and high k materials. Chemical beam epitaxy offers selective area growth with high doping and at lower temperatures, but is still subject to small variations in temperature and composition [5].

We present a simple technique for applying nonselective MBE regrowth and contact metallization to devices with 10-10000 nm lateral dimensions. After patterning of MOSFET gates and blanket regrowth of contact material, a self-aligned, height-selective etching process was used to expose and etch only the top of the gate, as shown in Figure 1, with no lithography necessary. Similar methods have been used to expose a polysilicon gate to a full silicide process [6] and to expose the top contact of a buried-heterostructure laser diode after MOCVD growth of InP and deposition of SiO<sub>2</sub> current blocking layers [7], but to our knowledge, this is the first use for self-aligned, MBE regrown contacts. Shin reported planarization followed by selective etches [8], but this required careful control of etch depth, unlike the process presented here.

**Methods**

Wafers were prepared for height-selective etching as follows. First, a MOSFET channel structure or an InGaAs layer was grown on a semi-insulating InP substrate by MBE, ending after the InGaAs. The wafer was unloaded, and a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Cr/W/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gate was defined lithographically on UID InGaAs lattice matched to InP [9]. 20nm SiN<sub>x</sub> sidewalls were then deposited on the gate to encapsulate metals and subjected to an anisotropic dry etch, leaving SiN<sub>x</sub> only on vertical surfaces. To clean the InGaAs surface before regrowth, the wafer was exposed to UV ozone to remove trace organics and to create a 2 nm layer of sacrificial surface oxide. This was followed by a 60 second 1:10 HCl:H<sub>2</sub>O dip and rinse to remove oxides and trace metals. The wafer was immediately placed in UHV and baked overnight. Before regrowth, the wafer was exposed to 10<sup>-6</sup> Torr of in-situ, thermally cracked H<sub>2</sub> for 1 hour at 350 °C. This process

produced streaky patterns in reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED), indicating a smooth surface with sub-monolayer contamination at most. The wafer was then moved into the growth chamber, and 50 nm of additional InGaAs:Si was grown by conventional MBE at 460°C and 0.5 μm/hour, with a V/III flux ratio of 20. The regrowth was followed by 20 nm of Molybdenum deposited by e-beam evaporation in-situ, for contacts and unloading of the wafer from the MBE [2].

The height-selective etch process consisted of three parts. First, a polymer was spun onto the wafer, thick enough to produce a planar top surface. The polymer was baked and then etched back in an oxygen plasma until the top of the gate was exposed. Molybdenum was reactive ion etched in a low power SF<sub>6</sub>/Ar plasma, and the polycrystalline n<sup>++</sup> InGaAs over the top of the gate was etched away using a 10 second, 1:1:25 HCl:H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O wet etch. The polymer was then removed, leaving self-aligned contacts. Details of these steps are provided below.

Choosing the right polymer is of the utmost importance for this process to be successful. The polymer should be at least twice as thick as the features are tall, in our case ~1 micrometer of polymer for 400 nm features. The polymer must be able to be thinned to below the top of the feature while remaining smooth and protecting the source/drain regions during an SF<sub>6</sub>/Ar dry etch.

SPR 510-A photoresist gave the most successful results of the polymers tested. It was applied to the wafer by spinning at 4000 rpm for 30 seconds, softbaked at 90 °C for 1 minute and hardbaked at 110 °C for 1 minute on a hot plate. The resist was then thinned in an inductively coupled plasma ashing chamber with an O<sub>2</sub> plasma. The ICP ashing chamber functions at 50 Pa with a flow of 300sccm of O<sub>2</sub> and 1500 W ICP power at a chuck temperature of 50 °C. Any elevation of the chuck temperature significantly increases the thinning rate and should be avoided. The choice of an ICP plasma turned out to be key to the even thinning of the SPR 510-A. Initial tests were conducted with a capacitively coupled plasma (CCP) in a 100kHz, parallel plate, Technics PEII etcher running at 50W. The CCP ashing did not thin the SPR 510-A evenly, but left resist remaining on the top of the gate as the surrounding areas were exposed (Figure 2). We attribute this to severe crosslinking caused by accelerated ions from the CCP. ICP ashing is a much slower and even process, thinning evenly while maintaining a planarized surface (Figure 3). Polymer grass was formed by either type of O<sub>2</sub> plasma, and although this grass is etched off in SF<sub>6</sub>/Ar during the Mo etch step (Figure 4), it could not be removed once anchored to the surface of the wafer or a feature, even by O<sub>2</sub> ashing or by solvent stripping. If resist remains on the source/drain regions, the grass will be removed at the same time as the underlying resist and these regions will be clean after a simple solvent rinse, leaving a Mo sidewall clearly visible in SEM (Figure 5).

The uniformity of the planarization process is highly dependent on the uniformity of the initial resist spin. Photoresist pile up near the edges of the wafer can result in areas of slightly thinner resist than at the center of the wafer and will leave those areas exposed to the etch. Even if a full wafer is used and all edge effects are avoided, the local resist thickness maintains some sample size dependence. Also, due to edge effects in the resist thinning step, the center of the wafer will have slightly thicker resist than the edges and this will be visible to the naked eye as a difference in color of the etched back resist. For this reason, it is important to have features tall enough so that features in the center of the wafer will rise above the PR surface, while the features on the edges have enough PR around them to survive the dry etch.

## Results

RHEED showed a clear (2x4) reconstruction throughout regrowth, indicating clean and smooth surfaces. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM, not shown) revealed no visible interface layer between the InGaAs channel and the InGaAs regrowth. As shown in Figure 5, InGaAs and Molybdenum blanket materials have been successfully deposited and selectively etched down to the height of the SPR-510A resist. No polymer residue or scum is visible on the wafer, neither near the gates nor in the far field. The lateral distance from the regrown contacts to the active layer is determined by the thickness of the sidewall, which is generally a well-controlled process.

Transmission line measurements (TLM) of Mo/InGaAs:Si contacts regrown on 100 nm InGaAs:Si with n=3.6x10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> showed contact resistivity of 1.3x10<sup>-8</sup> ohm-cm<sup>2</sup> (Figure 6), which is comparable with 0.5-0.9x10<sup>-8</sup> ohm-cm<sup>2</sup> for non-regrowth samples [10]. The growth conditions used for these samples produced 0.1-0.2 μm gaps near the gates due to shadowing in the MBE, but these gaps present errors of less than 1% in the TLM data and produce an overestimate of actual contact resistance. The gaps in regrowth can be eliminated by migration-enhanced epitaxy (MEE) at 540-560 °C with V/III flux ratios ~3, with negligible change in contact resistivity [11-12]. These techniques are applicable to many other electronic and optoelectronic devices as well, such as intracavity contacts in vertical cavity surface emitting lasers.

## Conclusion

Using nonselective deposition processes, we have shown how to achieve self-aligned contacts to an extremely thin InGaAs surface quantum well as part of a submicron MOSFET structure. Several polymers produced trenching near the gate, exposing a sensitive region to subsequent etches, but SPR 510-A was successfully characterized as a robust etch mask in a height-selective etch process. This process enables blanket deposition techniques such as MBE to perform regrowth of self-aligned contacts on the nanometer scale.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded through the Global Research Collaboration of the Semiconductor Research Corporation and with help from the National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network's Nanotech facility at the University of California, Santa Barbara, which is funded by the National Science Foundation.

## References:

- [1] M. J. W. Rodwell, M. Le, B. Brar, Proc. IEEE, 96 (2008) 748
- [2] U. Singiseti, M. A. Wistey, J. D. Zimmerman, B. J. Thibeault, M. J. W. Rodwell, A. C. Gossard, S. R. Bank, Appl. Phys. Lett. (submitted)
- [3] A. Palevski, P. Solomon, T. F. Kuech, M. A. Tischler. Appl. Phys. Lett. 56 (1990) 171
- [4] T. F. Kuech, M. A. Tischler and R. Potemski. Appl. Phys. Lett. 54 (1989) 910.
- [5] W. T. Tsang, J. Cryst. Growth. 81 (1987) 261.
- [6] S. Kubicek et al., International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) 2006. pp. 1-4
- [7] C. Hu, F. Lee, K. Huang, C. Tsai, M. Wu. High performance 1.55  $\mu\text{m}$  InGaAsP buried-heterostructure laser diodes fabricated by single-step MOCVD regrowth and self-aligned technique. J Electrochem Soc (2007) vol. 154 (4) pp. H263-H267
- [8] H. Shin, C. Gaessler, and H. Leier, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 19 (1998) 297.
- [9] U. Singiseti, M. A. Wistey, G. J. Burek, E. Arkun, Y. Sun, E. J. Kiewra, D. K. Sadana, B. J. Thibeault, A. C. Gossard, C. Palmström et al., to be presented at International Symposium on Compound Semiconductors (ISCS) 2008
- [11] M. A. Wistey U. Singiseti, G. J. Burek, J. Cagnon, S. Stemmer, S. R. Bank, M. J. W. Rodwell and A.C. Gossard. APL, in preparation
- [12] M. Kawashima, T. Saku, and Y. Horikoshi, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 10 (1995) 1237.

## Figures:




Figure 1. MBE regrowth process flow: a) Patterned wafer with gate structures subjected to UV ozone oxidation, b) HCl:H<sub>2</sub>O 1:10 etch of InGaAs surface before loading, c) in situ atomic hydrogen cleaning, d) non-selective MBE regrowth and UHV metal deposition, e) application of photoresist, f) the photoresist is thinned below the level of the gate and g) exposed regions are etched and the resist is stripped, leaving metal self-aligned to the gate.




Figure 2. SEM of SPR 510-A sample after thinning in a capacitively coupled plasma, producing a nonplanar resist surface.




Figure 3. SEM of SPR 510-A sample after thinning in an ICP.




Figure 4. SEM shows Molybdenum as the bright area covering the gate after a partial etch.




Figure 5. SEM close up of Mo sidewall remaining on gate after resist was stripped




Figure 6. TLM results of regrown processed contacts with 25 μm width, regrown on 100 μm thick InGaAs:Si with  $n=3.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .